

On the magnitude of the gaussian integer solutions of the Legendre equation.

José Luis Leal Ruperto

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada.

Escuela Politécnica Superior. Universidad de Málaga. Spain.

Abstract

Holzer [3] proves that Legendre's equation

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0,$$

expressed in its normal form, when having a nontrivial solution in the integers, has a solution (x, y, z) where $|x| \leq \sqrt{|bc|}$, $|y| \leq \sqrt{|ac|}$, $|z| \leq \sqrt{|ab|}$. This paper proves a similar version of the theorem, for Legendre's equation with coefficients a, b, c in Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ in which there is a solution (x, y, z) where

$$|z| \leq \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|}.$$

Keywords: Legendre Equation; Gaussian Integers

2010 MSC: 20, 90.010

1. Introduction

Legendre [1] proves for the first time that the equation in the integers

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0 \tag{1}$$

expressed in its normal form, that is with a, b, c being square-free and pairwise coprime, has a nontrivial solution if and only if a, b, c do not all have the same sign and if $-bc$, $-ca$ y $-ab$ are quadratic residues of a , b and c respectively.

As any multiple of a solution (x, y, z) is a solution, a solution is called primitive if it is simplified and $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$. Any equation can be reduced to its normal form: First, if equation

$$a_1x_1^2 + b_1y_1^2 + c_1z_1^2 = 0 \tag{2}$$

Email address: jlleal@uma.es, jllealr@hotmail.com (José Luis Leal Ruperto)

is such that a_1, b_1, c_1 are $a_1 = \alpha^2 a, b_1 = \beta^2 b, c_1 = \gamma^2 c$ with a, b, c being square-free, then there exists a correspondence between the solutions of 2 and those of 1 so that if (x_1, y_1, z_1) is a solution of 2, then $(\alpha x_1, \beta y_1, \gamma z_1)$ is a solution of 1, and if (x, y, z) is a solution of 1, then $(\beta \gamma x, \gamma \alpha y, \alpha \beta z)$ is also a solution of 2. Second, if equation 1 is such that p is a prime divisor of b and c , if (x, y, z) is a solution of 1, then (x, py, pz) is a solution of

$$pax_1^2 + \frac{b}{p}y_1^2 + \frac{c}{p}z_1^2 = 0 \quad (3)$$

and, reciprocally, if (x_1, y_1, z_1) is a solution of 2, then $(x_1, y_1/p, z_1/p)$ is a solution of 1. As $|pa(b/p)(c/p)| = |abc/p| < |abc|$, after repeating this process as many times as necessary we will come to an equation whose coefficients are pairwise coprime.

If (x, y, z) is a primitive solution of 1 expressed in its normal form, then we necessarily have that $\gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x, z) = \gcd(y, z) = 1$, since in the case $\gcd(x, y) \neq 1$ we would have that $x = px_o, y = py_o$ having a common prime factor p with $-cz_o^2 = a(px_o)^2 + b(py_o)^2$, considering residue classes modulo p^2 we would have that $[-cz_o^2]_{p^2} = [p^2]_{p^2}[ax_o^2 + by_o^2]_{p^2} = [0]_{p^2}$ which is not possible since p does not divide z_o and p^2 does not divide z_o^2 , nor does p^2 divide c which is square-free.

All this is valid in any unique factorization domain. In particular, Gauss integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is.

Samet [2] proves a similar theorem for equation 1 in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ expressed in its normal form, which has a solution if and only if bc, ca, ab are quadratic residues of a, b and c respectively, omitting the negative sign in the conditions since $i^2 = -1$.

There cannot exist a general formula in terms of coefficients giving a solution to 1 as a result. However, on the basis of an already known solution (x_o, y_o, z_o) , it is possible to find parametrically the other infinite solutions. Holzer, in [3], proves that if equation 1 in the integers in its normal form has a solution, it is always possible to find one of them (x, y, z) which satisfies

$$|x| \leq \sqrt{|bc|}, \quad |y| \leq \sqrt{|ac|}, \quad |z| \leq \sqrt{|ab|} \quad (4)$$

so that, at worst, if coefficients aren't very large, solutions can be found by trial and error.

Nevertheless, no results on the magnitude of the solutions of Legendre's equation in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ have been found hitherto.

Holzer's theorem, at least in its original form, does not work for Gaussian integers. For instance, equation $ix^2 + 7y^2 + z^2 = 0$ has as its smallest solution $(2 + 2i, 1, 1)$ where $|x| = |2 + 2i| = \sqrt{8} > \sqrt{|bc|} = \sqrt{|7|}$.

We adapt the proof of Holzer's theorem made years later by Mordell [4] in order to prove that at least, the following theorem holds true:

Theorem 1. *The equation $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ expressed in its normal form, if it has solutions, has a solution (x, y, z) where*

$$|z| \leq \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|}.$$

2. Proof of the theorem

The proof will consist of showing that, if there exists a solution (x_o, y_o, z_o) where $(x_o, y_o) = 1$ and $|z_o| > \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|}$, then another solution (x, y, z) where $|z| < |z_o|$ can be found on the basis of the first solution.

We parametrize the solutions establishing that

$$(x_o + tX, y_o + tY, z_o + tZ) \quad (5)$$

is a solution in Gaussian rationals with X, Y, Z being Gaussian integer parameters and t being a Gaussian rational, $t \neq 0$. By replacing in 1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a(x_o + tX)^2 + b(y_o + tY)^2 + c(z_o + tZ)^2 = \\ &= ax_o^2 + 2ax_otX + at^2X^2 + by_o^2 + 2by_otY + bt^2Y^2 + cz_o^2 + 2cz_otZ + ct^2Z^2 = \\ &= ax_o^2 + by_o^2 + cz_o^2 + (aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2)t^2 + 2t(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ) = \\ &= t((aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2)t + 2(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)). \end{aligned}$$

As $t \neq 0$, we get that $t = \frac{-2(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)}{aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2}$. Substituting t in 5 we get the solutions of 1 in Gaussian rationals; if we multiply by $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$ we get the integer solutions

$$\begin{aligned} &x_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2X(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ) \\ &y_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Y(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ) \\ &z_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Z(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which can be simplified by using a common divisor δ to all three expressions in 6, not necessarily the greatest common divisor, so that we get a solution (x, y, z) given by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1}{\delta}(x_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2X(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)) \\ y &= \frac{1}{\delta}(y_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Y(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)) \\ z &= \frac{1}{\delta}(z_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Z(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We now prove that if

$$\delta \text{ divides } c, \text{ and also divides } Xy_o - Yx_o,$$

then it divides all three expressions in 6 and therefore (x, y, z) are integers. To do so, we must previously consider that a, b, x_o and y_o are invertible in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]_\delta$. It will be sufficient to notice that $\gcd(\delta, abx_o y_o) = 1$. Let's consider the existence of a prime number p being a common divisor of δ and $abx_o y_o$. As $\delta|c$ and a, b, c are coprime, then p could only divide $x_o y_o$. Let's consider it divides

x_o . As $ax_o^2 = -by_o^2 - cz_o^2$ and p does not divide b , it must divide y_o^2 and therefore it must also divide y_o which is a contradiction since $\gcd(x_o, y_o) = 1$.

Having said that, as δ divides $Xy_o - Yx_o$, then $[Xy_o - Yx_o]_\delta = [0]_\delta$. If we isolate $[X]_\delta = [Yx_o/y_o]_\delta$ and replace in $[aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2]_\delta$ and in $[ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ]_\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} [a(Yx_o/y_o)^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2]_\delta &= [(ax_o^2Y^2 + by_o^2Y^2 + cy_o^2Z^2)/y_o^2]_\delta = \\ &= [(-cz_o^2Y^2 + cy_o^2Z^2)/y_o^2]_\delta = [c]_\delta [(-z_o^2Y^2 + y_o^2Z^2)/y_o^2] = [0]_\delta \\ [(ax_o(Yx_o/y_o) + by_oY + cz_oZ)]_\delta &= [(ax_o^2Y + by_o^2Y + cy_o^2Z)/y_o]_\delta = \\ &= [(-cz_o^2Y + cy_o^2Z)/y_o]_\delta = [c]_\delta [(-z_o^2Y + y_o^2Z)/y_o] = [0]_\delta \end{aligned}$$

we get multiples of δ since c also is a multiple of δ . Hence, the expressions in 6 are also multiples of δ , and (x, y, z) is an integer solution.

We manipulate equation 7 so as to be able to compare z to z_o :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-\delta z}{cz_o} &= \frac{2Z(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)}{cz_o} - \frac{z_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2)}{cz_o} \\ &= Z^2 + 2Z\left(\frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 - \frac{aX^2 + bY^2}{c} \\ &= \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 - \frac{aX^2 + bY^2}{c} \\ &= \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{c^2z_o^2}(aX^2cz_o^2 + bY^2cz_o^2 + a^2x_o^2X^2 + b^2y_o^2Y^2 + 2abx_oy_oXY) \end{aligned}$$

as $cz_o^2 = -ax_o^2 - by_o^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 + \frac{ab}{c^2z_o^2}(y_o^2X^2 - 2x_oy_oXY + x_o^2Y^2) \\ &= \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 + \frac{ab}{c^2z_o^2}(y_oX - x_oY)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we get that

$$z = z_o \frac{-c}{\delta} \left[\left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 + \frac{ab}{c^2z_o^2}(y_oX - x_oY)^2 \right]. \quad (8)$$

As $\gcd(x_o, y_o) = 1$, the equation $y_oX - x_oY = \delta$ always has a solution. For our purposes we will choose the values of X, Y, Z depending on whether c is or is not a multiple of $(1+i)$, as follows:

If c is a multiple of $1+i$, we can take $\delta = \frac{1}{1+i}c$, take parameters X, Y as any solution of $y_oX - x_oY = \frac{1}{1+i}c$ and the value of Z as the nearest Gaussian integer to the Gaussian rational $-\frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}$. That being so, we have that

$$\left| Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o} \right| < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

This is thus because, geometrically, Gaussian integers form a grid whose edge is equal to 1 and whose diameter is $\sqrt{2}$. Any Gaussian rational located inside a square would be at a distance of half the diameter from a Gaussian integer.

Let's consider that $|z_o| > \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|}$, taking modulus in 8

$$\begin{aligned} |z| &= |z_o| |1 + i| \left| \left(Z + \frac{ax_o X + by_o Y}{cz_o} \right)^2 + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})ab}{(1 + \sqrt{2})(1 + i)^2 z_o^2} \right| < \\ &< |z_o| \sqrt{2} \left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{(1 + \sqrt{2})(\sqrt{2})^2} \right) = |z_o| \sqrt{2} \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{2 + 2\sqrt{2}} = |z_o|. \end{aligned}$$

If c is not a multiple of $1 + i$, we choose X and Y as any solution to $y_o X - x_o Y = c$. As $\mathbb{Z}[i]_{1+i} = \{[0]_{1+i}, [1]_{1+i}\}$, if Z satisfies the equation

$$[aX + bY + cZ]_{1+i} = [0]_{1+i} \quad (9)$$

then the expressions in 6 are also divisible by $1 + i$, as $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$ also is, since whatever the value of α in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ might be, we have that $[\alpha^2]_{1+i} = [\alpha]_{1+i}$, and then

$$[aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2]_{1+i} = [aX + bY + cZ]_{1+i} = [0]_{1+i}.$$

Furthermore, $2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$ is also divisible by $1 + i$. In this way, it is possible to determine the parity of Z , which is either a multiple of $1 + i$ or 1 plus a multiple of $i + 1$. Let's then choose Z as the Gaussian integer having such parity being nearest to $-\frac{ax_o X + by_o Y}{cz_o}$ and we will have that

$$\left| Z + \frac{ax_o X + by_o Y}{cz_o} \right| < 1$$

since the multiples of $1 + i$, and those which are not, are located inside a grid whose edge is $\sqrt{2}$ and whose diameter is $((\sqrt{2})^2 + (\sqrt{2})^2)^{1/2} = 2$.

We replace the divisor δ in 8 by $(1 + i)\delta$ and taking modulus,

$$|z| < |z_o| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2}} \right) = |z_o| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{1 + \sqrt{2}} = |z_o|.$$

By repeating this process, as long as $|z_o|^2 > (1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|$ we will come to a solution where $|z^2| \leq (1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|$.

We must finally show that z can never be 0, since in such a case the solution would necessarily be the trivial one $(0, 0, 0)$. If z were 0, that is

$$z_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Z(ax_o X + by_o Y + cz_o Z) = 0 \quad (10)$$

When isolating, the only possible value of Z would be

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{-2(ax_oX + by_oY) \pm \sqrt{4(ax_oX + by_oY)^2 + 4cz_o(az_oX^2 + bz_oY^2)}}{2cz_o} \\ &= \frac{-(ax_oX + by_oY)}{cz_o} \pm \frac{\sqrt{ab(y_oX - x_oY)^2}}{cz_o} \end{aligned}$$

However, no integer Z can be obtained in this way, as $\sqrt{ab(y_oX - x_oY)^2}$ is, depending on the case, equal to $\sqrt{ab\frac{c^2}{4}}$ or to $\sqrt{abc^2}$, and with irrational values in both cases, since a, b are square-free.

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] M. Legendre, *Théorème sur la possibilité des équations indéterminées du second degré*, Histoire de l'Académie Royale des Sciences, (1785), pp. 507-513
- [2] P. A. Samet, *An equation in gaussian integers*, The American Mathematical monthly Vol. **59**, No 7 (1952), pp. 448-452.
- [3] L. Holzer, *Minimal solutions of diophantine equations*, Can. J. Math. **2** (1950), 238-244.
- [4] L. J. Mordell, *On the Magnitude of the Integer Solutions of the Equation $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$* , Journal of Number Theory **1** (1969), pp. 1-3.